



Decellularized peripheral nerve supports Schwann cell transplants and axon growth following spinal cord injury

Susana R. Cerqueira^{a,*}, Yee-Shuan Lee^a, Robert C. Cornelison^d, Michaela W. Mertz^e, Rebecca A. Wachs^e, Christine E. Schmidt^e, Mary Bartlett Bunge^{a, b, c, **}

^a The Miami Project to Cure Paralysis, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

^b Department of Cell Biology, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

^c Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

^d Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

^e J. Crayton Pruitt Family Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 March 2018

Received in revised form

25 May 2018

Accepted 28 May 2018

Available online 28 May 2018

Keywords:

Schwann cells

Injectable peripheral nerve

Decellularization

Spinal cord injury

Transplantation

Axon growth

ABSTRACT

Schwann cell (SC) transplantation has been comprehensively studied as a strategy for spinal cord injury (SCI) repair. SCs are neuroprotective and promote axon regeneration and myelination. Nonetheless, substantial SC death occurs post-implantation, which limits therapeutic efficacy. The use of extracellular matrix (ECM)-derived matrices, such as Matrigel, supports transplanted SC survival and axon growth, resulting in improved motor function. Because appropriate matrices are needed for clinical translation, we test here the use of an acellular injectable peripheral nerve (iPN) matrix. Implantation of SCs in iPN into a contusion lesion did not alter immune cell infiltration compared to injury only controls. iPN implants were larger and contained twice as many SC-myelinated axons as Matrigel grafts. SC/iPN animals performed as well as the SC/Matrigel group in the BBB locomotor test, and made fewer errors on the grid walk at 4 weeks, equalizing at 8 weeks. The fact that this clinically relevant iPN matrix is immunologically tolerated and supports SC survival and axon growth within the graft offers a highly translational possibility for improving efficacy of SC treatment after SCI. To our knowledge, it is the first time that an injectable PN matrix is being evaluated to improve the efficacy of SC transplantation in SCI repair.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Following an insult to the mammalian spinal cord, a complex cascade of reactions contributes to an aggravated expansion of the initial injury, including the formation of fluid-filled cavities that partly contribute to the regenerative failure and cause extreme functional deficits [1]. Cell transplantation strategies following spinal cord injury (SCI) rely on reducing cavitation following damage, re-bridging the injured tissue and creating more favorable conditions for axonal regeneration [2]. Among the prime candidates for repair are Schwann cells (SCs), the myelinating glia of the peripheral nervous system. SCs can be obtained from SCI patient

nerve, purified and expanded in culture, and autologously transplanted into the lesioned spinal cord [3]. SCs have been extensively studied and found to be neuroprotective, reduce cavitation, promote axon regeneration and myelination, and modestly improve hindlimb movements in rat SCI models [4–6]. These findings contributed to FDA-approved clinical trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of autologous SC therapy in sub-acute and chronic SCI subjects (www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT01739023; NCT02354625) [7–9].

After transplantation, however, SC survival rates are considerably low which limits the extent of their therapeutic action. Previous studies have shown that after a contusion injury in rats, only approximately 20% of the SCs survived when transplanted in culture medium [10–12]. The harsh injury environment, where oxidative stress, inflammation and immune responses occur, undoubtedly contributes to these low survival levels. In addition, the SC detachment from extracellular matrix (ECM) in the culture dish before transplantation, contributes to significant cell death through an apoptotic process designated *anoikis* [13]. The vehicle in which

* Corresponding author. The Miami Project to Cure Paralysis, 1095 NW 14th Terrace, Room 3-05, Miami, FL 33136, USA.

** Corresponding author. The Miami Project to Cure Paralysis, Lois Pope LIFE Center, P.O. Box 016960, Mail locator R-48, Miami, FL 33101, USA.

E-mail addresses: scerqueira@miami.edu (S.R. Cerqueira), mbunge@miami.edu (M.B. Bunge).

SCs are transplanted is thus very important and affects the treatment efficacy. Patel et al. [14] compared different ECM-derived *in situ* gelling formulations with culture medium as vehicles for SC implantation into a SCI site. Transplanting SCs in these matrices, particularly Matrigel, enhanced SC survival, axon growth, revascularization and functional recovery compared to a SC suspension. Matrigel is not clinically relevant, however, as it is derived from a mouse tumor and its composition varies from batch to batch [15]. A supportive and clinically acceptable matrix is needed for human SC implantation.

We hypothesize that peripheral nerve (PN) tissue, the natural environment of SCs, could provide an excellent support scaffold for SC transplantation after SCI. Decellularization technologies allow the removal of cellular components from source tissues that can elicit an immune response, while preserving the ECM components, thus providing attractive biomaterials for tissue engineering [16]. Implantation of decellularized biomaterials does not require immunosuppression, and the chemical intrinsic cues for cell function and guidance are maintained [17]. Nagao et al. [18] have developed an optimized acellular PN graft that has been shown to elicit similar functional recovery to an autograft in a rat sciatic nerve injury model. A similar human-derived scaffold is now FDA-approved for use in patients with PN discontinuities. An injectable formulation of acellular PN, recently established, mimics the composition of native nerve ECM, gels at physiological temperature and possesses mechanical properties similar to rat neural tissue [19]. We here investigate the potential of injectable PN (iPN) matrix to support transplanted SC survival and axon growth following SCI. Accordingly, we transplanted SCs in iPN and compared this to Matrigel, in a rat model of thoracic contusion. We evaluated the subacute immune response, graft volume, axon ingrowth and SC myelination, and locomotor function 8 weeks post-transplantation. SCs transplanted in iPN promoted twice as many axons and equivalent locomotor recovery, compared to Matrigel, raising exciting possibilities that can directly translate to future SCI clinical trial strategies.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Injectable acellular peripheral nerve matrix preparation

Decellularization of rat sciatic nerve was conducted according to methods previously developed in the Schmidt laboratory [20]. Briefly, sciatic nerves were aseptically harvested from Sprague Dawley rats and the epineurium was removed. The nerves were then stored in $1 \times$ phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at -20°C until processing. Nerves were washed with double distilled water and detergent, with buffer washes (100 mM sodium/50 mM phosphate buffer) performed between detergent washes. The detergent used was composed of sulfobetaine-10 (SB-10) in 50 mM sodium/10 mM phosphate buffer and 0.14% Triton X-200/0.6 mM sulfobetaine-16 (SB-16) in 50 mM sodium/100 mM phosphate. The nerve samples were then rinsed in 50 mM sodium/10 mM phosphate buffer and incubated in 200 μl of chondroitinase ABC (0.2 U/ml, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) at 37°C for 16 h. The tissue was then washed three times in $1 \times$ PBS for 3 h each. Samples were lyophilized and stored at -20°C until used for digestion. To create the injectable hydrogel, lyophilized nerves were aseptically weighed and minced into 1–2 mm segments using microscissors. The segments were collected and placed into a scintillation vial containing a magnetic stir bar. Samples were digested in 1 mg/ml pepsin in 0.01 M hydrochloric acid at a concentration of 20 mg ECM/ml solution on a stir plate for 64 h at room temperature. At the end of the digestion, the homogenous digest was neutralized to a pH of 7.4 using 1 M sodium hydroxide in $10 \times$ PBS. The pre-gel solution was either stored at 4°C

for a few days or at -20°C for up to one month.

2.2. Schwann cell isolation

Purified populations of SCs were obtained from the sciatic nerves of adult female Fischer rats (Envigo, USA) as described previously [21]. Briefly, after removal of the epineurium, the nerves were cut into 3 mm-long segments that were placed into culture dishes and covered with Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Invitrogen, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone, USA). The segments were transferred to new dishes weekly and the medium was refreshed twice a week. After 2 weeks, the explants were treated with dispase (Roche, Switzerland) and collagenase (Worthington, USA), dissociated and resuspended in culture medium with mitogens: pituitary extract (20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$; Biomedical Technologies, USA), forskolin (2 μM ; Sigma-Aldrich), and heregulin (2.5 nM; Genentech, USA). Treatment with Thy-1 and rabbit complement (MP Biomedicals, USA) was performed to eliminate remaining fibroblasts. The cells were grown to confluence and passaged three times (P3) prior to *in vitro* studies or *in vivo* transplantation. Their purity for grafting was determined to be 95–98% [22].

2.3. Lentiviral vector preparation and transduction of Schwann cells

Lentiviral vectors encoding enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP) were used to transduce the SCs, so as to enable *in vivo* tracking. Lentiviral vector preparation was performed as described previously [23]. The lentiviral particles were produced by the Miami Project Viral Vector Core. Cultured 293T cells were used for transfecting the plasmids and viral harvesting. The viral titer was determined by p24 ELISA assay (PerkinElmer, USA) for quantifying p24 core protein concentrations. Purified viral vector stocks were stored at -80°C until SC infection. The vectors were used to transduce SCs at passage one. An optimal multiplicity of infection of 30 was designated by highest transduction efficiency ($>95\%$ of GFP-positive cells) with no obvious signs of toxicity [24]. The transduced cells were harvested and stored in liquid nitrogen, and later thawed and expanded as needed.

2.4. Cell culture experiments

The iPN scaffold was evaluated for the ability to support viable and metabolically active SCs. For these experiments 1×10^5 SCs were added to either the pre-gel iPN or Matrigel solution equaling a total of 30 μl volume ($n = 8$). The gel solutions were incubated at 37°C to initiate thermal gelation and then placed into a 48-well plate along with 400 μl of fresh culture medium with mitogens (as detailed above). The cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 10 days. Metabolic activity was assessed using the alamarBlue assay (Thermo Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In short, 40 μl of alamarBlue reagent was added to each well containing the gels/medium and allowed to incubate for 2 h. Then 200 μl of liquid were removed and placed into a 96-well plate. This was repeated for each sample. The remaining supernatant was removed and fresh medium was placed onto each gel. The 96-well plate was then read using a Biotek Synergy HT Microplate Reader (Biotek, USA). The data were recorded and the percent reduction of the alamarBlue reagent (indicator of metabolic activity) was calculated as per the manufacturer's instructions. Viability was assessed using a live/dead assay (Molecular Probes, USA). Briefly, this assay involved incubating the gels in 4 μM calcium AM/2 μM ethidium homodimer solution. After 30 min of incubation, the solution was removed and fresh medium was placed back onto the gels, and protected from light. Confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM710

Confocal, Carl Zeiss Microimaging, USA) was used to assess live (green), and dead (red) cells. Zen 2012 Image Processing software (Carl Zeiss Microimaging) was programmed to appropriately auto-segment adjacent cells and to define cells based on pixel size and color. Based on these settings, the number of live and dead cells per frame of confocal micrographs was counted and the relative viability calculated.

2.5. Animal experiments

Female adult Fischer rats (160–180 g; Envigo, USA) were housed according to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the United States Department of Agriculture guidelines. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Miami approved all animal procedures. Rats were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (25 mg/kg; Vedco Inc., USA) and xylazine (5 mg/kg; Lloyd Laboratories, USA). Adequate anesthesia was determined by monitoring the corneal reflex and hindlimb withdrawal to painful stimuli. During surgery, the rats were kept on heating pads. The back of the animal was shaved and aseptically prepared with chlorhexidine solution before surgery. Lacrilube ophthalmic ointment (Allergen Pharmaceuticals, USA) was applied to the eyes to prevent drying. Animals were randomly assigned to the experimental groups at the time of transplantation. Rats were housed two per cage at a temperature of 24 °C with *ad libitum* access to water and food. The animals were euthanized at 3, 14 days, or 8 weeks post-SC transplantation. Fig. 1 summarizes the experimental timeline of this study.

2.5.1. Moderate thoracic contusion injury

A dorsal laminectomy was performed at thoracic vertebra T8, exposing the surface of the spinal cord without disrupting the dura. A moderate contusion injury on the dorsal surface was created

using the Infinite Horizon (IH) Impactor (Precision Systems and Instrumentation, USA) set at a force of 200 kdynes. Animals were excluded when displaying abnormal contusion hits as defined by the force/displacement graph of the IH Impactor. The muscles and skin were sutured and closed with clips, respectively. The rats were allowed to recover in their cages with *ad libitum* access to food and water. Post-operative treatment and care included administration of Gentamicin (5 mg/kg, APP Pharmaceuticals, USA) to prevent infection, Buprenex (0.3 mg/kg, Reckitt Benckiser, UK) to reduce pain and Lactated Ringer's to prevent dehydration. Bladders were emptied manually twice a day until bladder function returned.

2.5.2. Schwann cell transplantation

One week post-injury, the rats were anesthetized and the injury site re-exposed. Before implantation, DMEM/F12 medium was removed from the cell suspension and GFP-SCs were re-suspended in the respective matrix to be tested (iPN or Matrigel, 1:1 of matrix to SCs). GFP-SCs, Matrigel and iPN were maintained on ice until injection. A pulled pipette placed in a Hamilton syringe containing 6 μ l of 2×10^6 GFP SCs was inserted into the spinal cord at a depth of 1 mm at the lesion epicenter and injected with a microinjector at 2 μ l/min (World Precision Instruments, USA). The needle was kept in place for another 6 min after injection to prevent cell leakage upon withdrawal. The muscles and skin were sutured and closed with clips, respectively. Immediately after surgery, rats received postoperative care, as aforementioned.

2.6. Flow cytometry

Spinal cords (n = 5/group) and spleens (for single color controls) were harvested at 3 and 14 days post-transplantation. Spinal cord segments centered in the injury site were mechanically dissociated and tissue homogenates were obtained using the neural tissue dissociation kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany), and then passed through 40 μ m cell strainers. Myelin was removed using myelin-specific magnetic beads and columns, according to the manufacturer's protocol (Miltenyi Biotec). Non-labelled cells were used for immunolabeling. A near infrared viability dye (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) allowed to determination of cell viability prior to staining and fixation. Nonspecific staining was prevented with an anti-CD16/32 (FcR block, BD Pharmingen, USA) prior to adding the following surface marker antibody conjugates: V450 anti-rat CD11b (BD Biosciences, USA); Alexa Fluor 647 anti-rat CD45 (BioLegend, USA); and PE anti-rat CD3 (BD Biosciences). All incubations were performed at 4 °C for 30 min. Cells were then fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS containing 10% FBS. Control cell suspensions (single color and fluorescence minus one) were prepared for setting compensation. Cells were analyzed using a CytoFlex cytometer (Beckman Coulter, USA) and FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences).

2.7. Behavior testing

All locomotor assessments were performed by two examiners blinded to the group allocation. Open-field locomotion was evaluated using the BBB locomotor rating scale [25]. The hindlimb movement was scored from 0 (no observable locomotor movements) to 21 points (normal locomotor movements) [2]. Testing was performed weekly from 1 to 9 weeks after injury. In addition, a BBB subscore was obtained to provide a separate assessment of hindlimb and tail positioning. All animals in the present study scored 21 for the BBB score and 13 for the BBB subscore during baseline assessment. Animals were also scored 1 day and 7 days post-SCI to confirm the lesion severity. The animals scored 0.9 ± 0.5 at day 1 and 5.5 ± 0.7 at day 7 post-SCI. The ability to precisely control and




Fig. 1. Summary of experimental design. Two independent experiments were conducted to investigate: (1) the host immune response to Matrigel and iPN, with and without SC grafts; and (2) long-term transplanted SC survival and axon growth in iPN or Matrigel.

place the hindlimbs was tested on an evenly spaced horizontal grid (grid walk test) at 4 and 8 weeks post-transplantation. The number of errors in foot placement (footslips) was counted on a metal grid runway elevated circa 30 cm from the ground.

2.8. Immunocytochemistry

At 14 days and 8 weeks after transplantation, animals were transcardially perfused with ice-cold saline solution, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4). The spinal cords were removed and post-fixed overnight at 4 °C, and then cryo-protected in 30% sucrose/PBS at 4 °C. The T7–T9 spinal cord segments centered at the injury site were embedded in gelatin. Serial sagittal cryostat sections (20 µm thick) were mounted on slides. Sections were incubated in a blocking solution of 10% normal goat serum with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 h at room temperature. They were next incubated in the following antibodies overnight at 4 °C: anti-GFP (1:500, Aves, USA) for SC identification, anti-CD11b (1:700, NovusBio, USA) or anti-Iba1 (1:500, Abcam, USA) for microglia/macrophages identification, anti-CD3 (1:300, BioRad, USA) for T cells, anti-CD45 (1:300, BioRad) for leukocytes, anti-GFAP (1:1000, Dako, Denmark) to visualize astrocytes, and anti-RT-97 (1:3, hybridoma, ATCC, USA) for neurofilament staining. The sections were then incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies: goat anti-chicken IgG Alexa Fluor 488, goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 594 or goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 660 (1:200; Molecular Probes, USA) with 100 µM Hoechst dye (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h at room temperature. Sections were cover-slipped with Immumount mounting medium (Thermo Scientific) and maintained at 4 °C until imaged in an Olympus FluoView™ FV1000 confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus, USA).

2.9. Electron microscopy processing

Following perfusion, a 1 mm transverse slice from the injury/graft center was removed and fixed in glutaraldehyde and post-fixed in osmium tetroxide [26]. In brief, the tissue was dehydrated in a series of graded alcohol solutions, and embedded in Epon Alraldite resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA) [27]. The 1 µm semi-thin coronal plastic sections were stained with Richardson's toluidine blue stain for nuclear and cytoplasmic detail [28]. Thin sections were stained in 4% uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined in a Philips CM-10 transmission electron microscope.

2.10. Stereological quantification

SC graft volume was analyzed in the serial sagittal sections using unbiased computer-assisted microscopy and StereoInvestigator 10 software (MBF Bioscience, USA). The SC graft in each section (20 µm thickness, 140 µm intervals) was delineated using GFP fluorescence to determine the SC graft area. This area was automatically calculated and the graft volume determined using the equation: $V = \sum (\text{transplant area} \times \text{section thickness} \times \text{number of sections in each sampling interval})$. The graft area in each section was measured blindly. SC-myelinated axons were counted in semi-thin sections at 63 × and the total numbers obtained using StereoInvestigator 10 (MBF Bioscience). Using the optical fractionator sampling design (counting frame area, 25 × 25 µm; grid size, 75 × 75 µm), the graft epicenter was systematically sampled. These sections allow central myelin to be distinguished morphologically from peripheral myelin [27,29]. The analysis was performed by two examiners blinded to the animal groups. Estimated total numbers of unmyelinated axons were determined by systematic random sampling of thin sections in the electron microscope to obtain the ratio of unmyelinated to

myelinated axons in each condition [27]. The ratio of unmyelinated: myelinated axons in the Matrigel samples was estimated to be 6.7: 1, whereas in the iPn samples it was 5.7: 1.

2.11. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism v5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., USA) or STATISTICA (Dell Inc, USA). All data are presented as mean ± SEM. Significant differences between the experimental groups were assessed using the parametric Student's *t*-test for *in vitro* studies, and chronic *in vivo* experiments. Differences of $p < 0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant. To analyze temporal differences in functional recovery, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's *post-hoc* test were used.

3. Results

3.1. Quantification of SC viability in iPn and Matrigel *in vitro*

In vitro data demonstrated that the iPn scaffold was not cytotoxic to the seeded SCs. SCs were able to grow abundantly in both iPn and Matrigel, and in both conditions they were evenly distributed. SCs seeded in Matrigel exhibited a bipolar morphology (Fig. 2A), whereas in iPn displayed a rounded phenotype (Fig. 2B). Despite the unusual morphological appearance, SCs cultured in iPn maintained robust viability that was significantly higher ($90.0 \pm 1.7\%$) than the cells cultured in Matrigel ($80.1 \pm 2.0\%$) (Fig. 2C). Three times more dead cells were found in Matrigel than in iPn based on a live/dead assay (Fig. 2D). Taken together, these numbers revealed that iPn is an adequate matrix that allows SC growth and activity. Furthermore, iPn significantly reduced cultured SC death when compared to Matrigel. iPn, however, is not an optimal matrix for SC process extension *in vitro*.

3.2. Assessment of subacute cellular inflammation

Changes in cellular inflammation were investigated following matrix or SC/matrix injection into the injured spinal cord. Flow cytometry allowed quantification of immune cells at 3 and 14 days post-injection, after efficient myelin removal from the tissue. Several immune cell sub-populations were successfully identified and quantified, such as: microglia ($CD11b^+CD45^{low}$), macrophages ($CD11b^+CD45^{high}$), leukocytes ($CD11b^-CD45^+$), and T cells ($CD3^+$) (Figs. 3 and 4). Equivalent microglia and macrophage numbers were found in all groups, particularly at 14 days post-transplantation (Fig. 3). However, at this same time point, there was a trend of increased number of leukocytes (Fig. 3B) and T cells (Fig. 4B) in Matrigel groups. Groups containing Matrigel, either with or without SCs, presented approximately three times more T cells and leukocytes than the control SCI group (Figs. 3B and 4B). These differences however were not statistically significant due to some variability found within the Matrigel groups. Interestingly, the iPn groups displayed consistent leukocyte and T cell numbers identical to the control group. The signal from the transplanted cells (GFP^+CD3^-) was also visible in the groups receiving SC transplants. In the future, we will optimize efficient SC separation from spinal cord tissue to allow quantification of proliferation and survival of transplanted cells using this method.

Histological observation of sagittal sections of injured spinal cords at 14 days post-transplantation allowed visualization of the spatial distribution of microglia/macrophages, leukocytes and T cells (Fig. 5). All cell types were seen in the tissue containing SC grafts in iPn or Matrigel. In both SC/Matrigel and SC/iPn groups, abundant macrophage/microglia were seen within the SC grafts. A




Fig. 2. Confocal z-stack showing SCs seeded in Matrigel (A) or iPN (B) *in vitro*. Bar = 100 μm. Graphs depicting viability (C) and ratio of live/dead SCs, when cultured in Matrigel or iPN (D) are shown. Results expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 8). *p < 0.05.




Fig. 3. A. Representative images of flow cytometry analysis of subacute changes in immune cell number after SC transplantation and/or iPN or Matrigel injection, at 3 and 14 days post-transplantation. B–D. Quantification of the total number of leukocytes (B), microglia (C), and macrophages (D). Baseline levels measured before transplantation are represented by a dashed line. Results expressed as mean ± SEM.




Fig. 4. A. Representative images of flow cytometry analysis of changes in T cell number after SC transplantation and/or iPN or Matrigel injection, at 3 and 14 days post-transplantation. B. Quantification of the total number of T cells in the rat spinal cord 3 and 14 days post-transplantation. Results expressed as mean ± SEM.

higher density of microglia/macrophages was noted around the SC/Matrigel grafts, compared to SC/iPN. On the other hand, leukocytes and T cells were observed mostly surrounding the transplant area. Larger areas of intensely immunostained leukocytes and T cells were noted in the Matrigel groups, compared to iPN. Also, a border seemingly preventing direct contact of T cells and leukocytes with the graft was seen in Matrigel groups. This region was absent in the iPN groups. Grafts contained 3 times more SCs in iPN groups than




Fig. 5. A. Fluorescent micrographs of sagittal spinal cord sections at 14 days post-transplantation to evaluate immune cells. SCs (green) transplanted either in Matrigel or iPN were stained for microglia/macrophages (CD11b), T cells (CD3) and leukocytes (CD45), in red. Bar = 100 μ m. B. Ratio of transplanted SCs in iPN: transplanted SCs in Matrigel at 14 days are shown. Results expressed as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05.

Matrigel at 14 days post-transplantation (Fig. 5B).

3.3. Transplanted SC morphology and distribution in the lesion site

As expected, at 8 weeks the grafted SCs occupied most of the contusion cavity outlined by GFAP immunoreactive astrocytes (Fig. 6A). Transplanted SCs could be seen as a continuous bridge from rostral to caudal and did not significantly migrate out of the lesion area, either in Matrigel or iPN. The grafts were very dense in both groups, not revealing any apparent evidence of the matrix in which SCs were implanted at the light microscope level. This suggests that the matrices were largely degraded during this period. Transplanted GFP-SCs exhibited the typical spindle shape and long

processes in both groups (Fig. 6Ai,ii). SCs aligned in a characteristic swirling pattern, which was discernible in sagittal sections of both iPN and Matrigel grafts. Also in both groups, astrocytes penetrated the graft into more central areas close to the lesion epicenter.

3.4. SC graft volume quantification at 8 weeks post-transplantation

Stereological quantification of the graft volumes at 8 weeks post-transplantation revealed that these were two times larger in the animals that received SCs in iPN ($0.95 \pm 0.28 \text{ mm}^3$), compared to the Matrigel group ($0.48 \pm 0.17 \text{ mm}^3$) (Fig. 6D). Although there was a 197% increase in the graft volume in the iPN group, compared to Matrigel, it was not statistically significant due to some within group variation. Earlier reports support that Matrigel *per se* induces a 256% increase in SC number, compared to medium suspension [14]. Implants in the SC/iPN group were estimated to be $0.48 \pm 0.16 \text{ mm}^2$ compared to the SC/Matrigel group, was $0.27 \pm 0.05 \text{ mm}^2$. There was a 177% increase in the iPN groups, which was not statistically significant (Fig. 6D).

3.5. Analysis of axonal growth in SC transplants

Evaluation of semi-thin, toluidine blue-stained coronal sections of the SC graft/lesion epicenter demonstrated the presence of dense grafts with a plethora of SC-myelinated axons in both groups (Fig. 6B). Stereological quantification of axon numbers allowed the examination of differences between the experimental groups. Electron microscopic observation of thin sections allowed the distinction of myelinating and non-myelinating axons (Fig. 6C). As seen in Fig. 6D, estimation of the number of axons within the implant revealed twice as many SC-myelinated axons in the SC/iPN group (6927 ± 2429) as in the SC/Matrigel group (3259 ± 475). A




Fig. 6. A. Confocal micrographs of sagittal spinal cord sections at 8 weeks post-transplantation of SCs in either Matrigel or iPN. Transplanted SCs (GFP, in green) and astrocytes (GFAP, in red) are shown. Cell nuclei are also visible (Hoechst, in blue). Bar = 500 μ m. Higher magnifications of the central areas of SC grafts in Matrigel (i) or iPN (ii) are shown. B. Bright field micrographs of SCs in either Matrigel or iPN in semi-thin, toluidine blue-stained coronal sections of spinal cord at 8 weeks post-transplantation. SCs were transplanted either in Matrigel or iPN. Graft areas are delineated by a dashed red line. Arrows indicate myelinated axons; asterisks indicate blood vessels. Bar = 50 μ m. C. Transmission electron micrographs of the epicenter of the grafts. Legend: Sc: Schwann cell nucleus; a: unmyelinated axon. Arrows indicate myelinated axons; asterisks indicate blood vessels. Bar = 5 μ m. D. Quantification of graft volumes and areas, and number and diameter of axons in the graft. Results expressed as mean \pm SEM. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

212% increase in the number of axons occurred in SC/iPN grafts. Quantification of non-myelinating axons showed the same trend, with a 181% increase in iPN ($39,518 \pm 16,116$), compared to Matrigel ($21,813 \pm 2861$). No differences were observed in the myelinated axon diameters in both conditions (Fig. 6D). Neurofilament immunostaining in sagittal sections of injured spinal cord 8 weeks post-transplantation confirmed the abundant presence of axons within SC grafts in iPN and Matrigel (Fig. 7).

3.6. Immune response at 8 weeks post-transplantation

Immune responses were investigated by visualizing the presence of macrophage/microglia in and around the graft by immunostaining for the pan-macrophage marker Iba1. Fluorescence images of sagittal sections revealed widespread macrophages/microglia inside and around the grafts (Fig. 7). Most notably, the spinal cords that received SC/Matrigel grafts appeared to display a more intense border of immunostained macrophage and microglia around the graft, indicating a possibly more robust and long-lasting cellular inflammatory response.

3.7. Locomotor recovery after SC transplantation in iPN or Matrigel

Comparable trends in the locomotor performance in the open field, as measured by the BBB score [25], were observed between SC/iPN and SC/Matrigel groups during the 8 weeks following transplantation (Fig. 8). Complementary analysis of BBB subscores indicated a faster recovery in hindpaw positioning in the SC/iPN group, particularly from 3 to 6 weeks post-transplantation.




Fig. 7. Confocal micrographs of sagittal spinal cord sections at 8 weeks post-transplantation. SCs (GFP, green) were transplanted in either Matrigel or iPN. The sections were immunostained for microglia/macrophage (Iba1, red) and neurofilament-positive axon (NF, white) identification. Bar = 500 μ m. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Evaluation of hindpaw placement on the grid-walk test revealed better performance of the SC/iPN group at 4 weeks post-transplantation ($6.1 \pm 1.1\%$), when compared to Matrigel ($8.6 \pm 1.8\%$). The number of footslip errors is equalized in the 2 groups at 8 weeks post-transplantation ($5.0 \pm 1.7\%$, in the Matrigel/SC group; $3.5 \pm 1.6\%$, in the iPN/SC group).

4. Discussion

The current study demonstrates that iPN is an appropriate injectable biomaterial to support transplanted SC survival and axon growth into a SCI site. Moreover, injection of iPN into a lesion is immunologically tolerated and supports as much locomotor recovery as Matrigel, making this matrix an attractive possibility for future translational studies. SC transplantation in Matrigel has been compared to other matrices as well as with SC suspension and shown superior SC survival, axon growth and locomotor recovery. We here show that iPN equally supports graft volumes that enable axon growth within the transplant after SCI.

Matrigel is a basement membrane extract that enhances cell spreading and extension *in vitro* [38,39]. It is a thermoresponsive hydrogel primarily containing laminin, collagen IV, and entactin, and also variable amounts of several growth factors [30]. Despite these attractive properties, however, its derivation from a mouse sarcoma and variable composition limit its use in clinical applications [31]. Nonetheless, it has been widely used as a matrix to support cell survival *in vitro*, and also *in vivo* [14,32–34]. iPN is also a biologically derived material could vary in composition but during its processing of the biomaterial we have taken measures to prevent batch-to-batch variability. Peripheral nerve tissues were isolated from a single species of rat matched for same age and weight. We have previously observed that animal age was the principal effector of variability before and after decellularization (unpublished data). Based on our characterization of this matrix, the variability in ECM components, such as collagens and glycosaminoglycans, was not appreciable in different preparations of iPN [19].

Our data confirm that Matrigel has excellent properties for culturing SCs. After 10 days of culture, SCs displayed the typical bipolar morphology in Matrigel, whereas in iPN SCs did not extend their processes suggesting that iPN does not possess optimal properties for *in vitro* culturing. We believe these dissimilarities *in vitro* are caused mainly by the differences in protein and growth factor content between Matrigel and iPN. The increased content of adhesive basement membrane proteins in Matrigel is, therefore, a plausible reason for the differences in cell morphology *in vitro*. Despite the morphological variance, SC viability was higher in iPN scaffolds, indicating that SCs survive and are viable when cultured in iPN.

The composition of the ECM plays a key role in cell behavior [36,37]. Biologically active factors like nerve growth factor, fibroblast growth factor (FGF), platelet-derived growth factor, insulin growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and heparin sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) are released from Matrigel and can interact with SCs [35]. Both FGF and IGF-1 encourage SC growth and proliferation [34–36], and HSPGs are known mediators of SC spreading on laminin-coated surfaces [37]. To generate iPN, peripheral nerves undergo chemical and then enzymatic/acidic digestion. Chemical decellularization has been shown to variably remove many growth factors from tissues [36]. For example, Reing et al. demonstrated that chemical decellularization of porcine dermis contained no detectable vascular endothelial growth factor and transforming growth factor beta1 while eliminating at least 60% of FGF compared to fresh dermis [37]. The ensuing enzymatic and acidic treatments may also facilitate removal and/or denaturation of growth factors [38,39].




Fig. 8. A, B. BBB scores (A) and subscores (B) of SC/iPN and SC/Matrigel implanted animals. C, D. Number of errors on the grid walk in the SC/iPN and SC/Matrigel implanted animals, at 4 and 8 weeks post-transplantation. Results expressed as mean \pm SEM. * $p < 0.05$.

Although we have not explicitly tested for this in iPN, we predict similar results. Preliminary proteomic analysis of decellularized nerve indicated that these scaffolds are primarily composed of structural proteins. Moreover, iPN contains native ECM proteins, such as laminin and collagen, in lower and more physiologically-relevant concentrations than in Matrigel, which is more relevant for *in vivo* applications [19].

An important factor to consider when envisioning applications of decellularized matrices is the tissue specificity of the matrix source. Whereas it is legitimate to assume that a homologous substrate will be the most favorable in clinical applications, this is a current subject of discussion [40]. A recent study comparing the neuroregenerative potential of CNS and non-CNS derived ECM hydrogels in SCI showed both materials to be equally beneficial in supporting tissue repair [41]. Different ECM hydrogels have been tested for SCI with encouraging results, independently of cell transplantation, by filling lesion cavities and also by immunomodulatory properties [42]. However, it is widely recognized that due to the complexity of the post-injury environment combination of therapies will be necessary for effective restoration of function. Cell transplantation strategies have been intensively studied and are believed to be one of the necessary components to a successful strategy in SCI repair [43].

In the current study we have chosen to investigate the use of iPN to support transplanted SC survival. Decellularized PN scaffolds have been widely characterized and have recently advanced to clinical applications in PN injury [44]. iPN possesses approximate chemical and mechanical properties of PN upon thermal gelation in physiological conditions. Injection of iPN hydrogels into a SCI site favored a regenerative macrophage phenotype subacutely, whereas no long-term functional benefits or deficits were reported [19]. The hydrogel was present in the first 2 weeks after injection, but not visible at 8 weeks indicating degradation of the material. A similar hydrogel was injected after SCI and the authors reported that the matrix was relatively degraded in as little as 2 weeks post-injection

[41]. Both of these studies injected the hydrogel alone, and the addition of cells in our current study could alter degradation. Nonetheless, neither Matrigel nor iPN were detected in our tissue preparations at 8 weeks, indicating possibly similar degradation profiles.

Clinical application of biomaterials is dependent upon the host response to the material implantation. The host response is, in fact, the primary determinant of clinical success in most applications, as it affects the performance and integrity of the material *in vivo*. Understanding the kinetics of cellular inflammation after implantation of biomaterials into injury sites is essential for the development of effective therapeutic interventions. Neurotraumatic events induce the initiation of inflammation cascades and activate systemic immunity, with the potential to aggravate pathology or trigger reparative responses [45]. After SCI, inflammation is a multiphasic response and, while not yet completely understood, acute neuroinflammation has been shown to be a determining factor in the extent of functional recovery [46].

In the fields of SCI research and also of tissue engineering, evaluation of immune cell infiltration is typically attained using histological analyses. Accurate quantification by means of histological evaluation can be challenging because early after injury the tissue is very fragile. Other factors such as sampling strategy, variability in the distribution of cells, tissue heterogeneity, and histological processing might also influence the final estimation of cell numbers. It is also a time consuming procedure. Hence, to evade these circumstances we quantified cellular inflammation using flow cytometry analysis [47]. The use of flow cytometry to assess the primary immune cell types in the injured spinal cord was recently described and validated [47,48]. We investigated the inflammatory response at two time points in the subacute phase response (3 and 14 days post-implantation). Flow cytometry analysis allowed accurate comparison of SC implantation in the different matrices providing insights into the dynamics of inflammation in response to the presence of different matrices. Consistent

with previous reports, microglia and macrophages were the most abundant immune cells present in the injured tissue, followed by leukocytes and T cells.

Microglia, the immune resident cells of the CNS, are activated after injury, proliferating and peaking in number by 7 days after SCI [48]. They are among the first to respond to SCI by producing pro-inflammatory cytokines, nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species. Studies modulating this early microglia response have shown reduced secondary tissue damage and improved functional recovery [49,50]. These cells can, however, adopt different phenotypes that have contrasting roles in triggering further damage (M1 cells) or contributing to spinal cord repair (M2 cells) [51]. Previous reports have shown that acellular scaffolds, including iPNC, promote immune cell bias toward M2 regenerative phenotypes [19,52]. In future studies it will be important to discriminate the contribution of each of these phenotypes in tissue repair after SC transplantation in biomaterials, such as the one we are proposing.

Whereas microglia and macrophage numbers equalized in all experimental groups by 14 days post-transplantation, leukocytes and T cells tended to accumulate in the groups containing Matrigel, with or without SCs. The role of leukocytes acutely after injury has been mainly associated with a progressive destructive process that exacerbates damage by releasing proteases, reactive oxygen species and pro-inflammatory cytokines [53]. In the long term, however, alternative reparative roles have been suggested for leukocytes. T cells are present in very low numbers in the healthy spinal cord, but increase in the first week post-injury [45]. There is evidence that T cells have roles in both injury progression and repair processes, depending on their phenotype and the microenvironment. Although usually present in lower numbers than infiltrating macrophages or activated microglia, they can be responsible for greater tissue damage [54]. Confirmation of their function after SCI was obtained from T cell inhibition studies that resulted in improved recovery from SCI [55,56]. A thorough understanding of the function of these cells in the subacute phase and their impact on damage/repair will be critical for a complete interpretation of the data, and future work will focus on investigating the basis of these differences. Nonetheless, iPNC had no deleterious effect on the overall subacute immune response, as all iPNC experimental groups resembled the SCI control group at 14 days post-implantation. The fact that Sprague Dawley-derived iPNC does not elicit an immune response when implanted in Fischer rats is very promising in terms of clinical applications. In future studies, it will be important to use a different species as source of iPNC and evaluate the host response to the biomaterial.

Previous studies from the Bunge group and others have shown that SCs transplanted in culture medium suffer a dramatic 80% loss in the first 3 weeks following transplantation [10,11,57]. The causes of this significant cell death may include the removal of SCs from their culture substratum (termed *anoikis*), as well as the hostile inflammatory environment of the injury site. Improving the survival rates of SCs in this critical phase may enhance their recognized neuroprotective effects [3]. Our data reveal that suspending SCs in iPNC led to a more than threefold increase in SC number compared to the Matrigel group 14 days after transplantation. Both matrices contain laminin and collagen to support effective cellular adhesion and spreading, and also regulate SC survival and proliferation [58,59]. More important, unlike Matrigel, iPNC has potential to be translated and used in clinical applications.

To our knowledge, it is the first time that an injectable PN matrix is being evaluated to improve the efficacy of cell transplantation in SCI repair. Drawing from the clinical success of acellular nerve grafts, an injectable acellular nerve graft may offer an effective, clinically relevant treatment for SCI. Clinical trials at the Miami Project are testing the safety of autologous human SCs transplanted

into SCI sites. It is tempting to consider the possibility, after removal of the SCs from nerve biopsy, of treating the remaining tissue to serve as an autologous matrix to support survival of the transplanted human SCs.

5. Conclusions

Matrices to support SC grafts have been recently explored and shown to improve SC transplantation efficacy after SCI. Nonetheless, no clinically relevant ECM matrix that could be used in human subjects has been reported to date. Our results with iPNC revealed this material to be highly supportive for SC survival and myelination, axon growth, and locomotor recovery. Additionally, implantation of this matrix does not aggravate immune responses in the lesion site. As both SC transplantation in SCI and acellular nerve scaffold implantation in PN injury are FDA-approved protocols, this work raises an exciting possibility that can directly translate to future SCI clinical trials.

Disclosures

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

SRC, YL, RAW, CES and MBB designed the experiments; SRC, YL, MWM and RCC performed the experimental studies and acquired data; SRC, MWM and RAW analyzed data and performed statistical analysis; SRC prepared the manuscript; CES and MBB edited and reviewed the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [NIH NS 09923 (MBB)], The Miami Project to Cure Paralysis (MPCP) at the University of Miami, The Buoniconti Fund, the Craig Nielsen Foundation [222456 (CES)] and Conquer Paralysis Now (SRC). The authors would like to acknowledge: Yelena Pressman for preparing SCs for transplantation; the MPCP Virus Core for the virus production; the Animal Facility Core at the MPCP for assisting in animal care; Margaret Bates and Vania Almeida for TEM imaging and sample processing; Dr. Melissa Carballosa-Gautam for help in confocal imaging; Jason Wagner for cell counting; and Drs. Oliver Umland and Roberta Brambilla for assistance with flow cytometry. SRC would also like to acknowledge the Spinal Cord Injury Training Program at Ohio State University.

References

- [1] J.W. Rowland, et al., Current status of acute spinal cord injury pathophysiology and emerging therapies: promise on the horizon, *Neurosurg. Focus* 25 (5) (2008) E2.
- [2] W. Tetzlaff, et al., A systematic review of cellular transplantation therapies for spinal cord injury, *J. Neurotrauma* 28 (8) (2011) 1611–1682.
- [3] M.B. Bunge, Efficacy of Schwann cell transplantation for spinal cord repair is improved with combinatorial strategies, *J. Physiol.* 594 (13) (2016) 3533–3538.
- [4] M.B. Bunge, P.M. Wood, Chapter 32—Realizing the maximum potential of Schwann cells to promote recovery from spinal cord injury, in: V. Joost, W.M. John (Eds.), *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*, Elsevier, 2012, pp. 523–540.
- [5] H. Kanno, et al., Schwann cell transplantation for spinal cord injury repair: its significant therapeutic potential and prospectus, in: *Reviews in the Neurosciences*, 2015, p. 121.
- [6] J. Fortun, C.E. Hill, M.B. Bunge, Combinatorial strategies with Schwann cell transplantation to improve repair of the injured spinal cord, *Neurosci. Lett.* 456 (3) (2009) 124–132.
- [7] J. Guest, A.J. Santamaria, F.D. Benavides, Clinical translation of autologous Schwann cell transplantation for the treatment of spinal cord injury, *Curr. Opin. Organ Transplant.* 18 (6) (2013) 682–689.

- [8] K.D. Anderson, et al., Safety of autologous human Schwann cell transplantation in subacute thoracic spinal cord injury, *J. Neurotrauma* 34 (21) (2017) 2950–2963.
- [9] M.B. Bunge, et al., From transplanting Schwann cells in experimental rat spinal cord injury to their transplantation into human injured spinal cord in clinical trials, *Prog. Brain Res.* 231 (2017) 107–133.
- [10] C.E. Hill, et al., Early necrosis and apoptosis of Schwann cells transplanted into the injured rat spinal cord, *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 26 (6) (2007) 1433–1445.
- [11] D.D. Pearce, et al., Transplantation of Schwann cells and/or olfactory ensheathing glia into the contused spinal cord: survival, migration, axon association, and functional recovery, *Glia* 55 (9) (2007) 976–1000.
- [12] C.E. Hill, et al., Labeled Schwann cell transplantation: cell loss, host Schwann cell replacement, and strategies to enhance survival, *Glia* 53 (3) (2006) 338–343.
- [13] M. Koda, et al., Brain-derived neurotrophic factor suppresses anoikis-induced death of Schwann cells, *Neurosci. Lett.* 444 (2) (2008) 143–147.
- [14] V. Patel, et al., Suspension matrices for improved Schwann-cell survival after implantation into the injured rat spinal cord, *J. Neurotrauma* 27 (5) (2010) 789–801.
- [15] G. Benton, et al., Matrigel: from discovery and ECM mimicry to assays and models for cancer research, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 79–80 (2014) 3–18.
- [16] P.M. Crapo, T.W. Gilbert, S.F. Badylak, An overview of tissue and whole organ decellularization processes, *Biomaterials* 32 (12) (2011) 3233–3243.
- [17] T. Hoshiba, et al., Decellularized matrices for tissue engineering, *Exp. Opin. Biol. Ther.* 10 (12) (2010) 1717–1728.
- [18] R.J. Nagao, et al., Functional characterization of optimized acellular peripheral nerve graft in a rat sciatic nerve injury model, *Neurol. Res.* 33 (6) (2011) 600–608.
- [19] R.C. Cornelison, et al., Injectable hydrogels of optimized acellular nerve for injection in the injured spinal cord, *Biomed. Mater.* 13 (3) (2018), 034110.
- [20] T.W. Hudson, S.Y. Liu, C.E. Schmidt, Engineering an improved acellular nerve graft via optimized chemical processing, *Tissue Eng.* 10 (9–10) (2004) 1346–1358.
- [21] T.K. Morrissey, N. Kleitman, R.P. Bunge, Isolation and functional characterization of Schwann cells derived from adult peripheral nerve, *J. Neurosci.* 11 (8) (1991) 2433–2442.
- [22] T. Takami, et al., Schwann cell but not olfactory ensheathing glia transplants improve hindlimb locomotor performance in the moderately contused adult rat thoracic spinal cord, *J. Neurosci.* 22 (15) (2002) 6670–6681.
- [23] A. Follenzi, et al., Gene transfer by lentiviral vectors is limited by nuclear translocation and rescued by HIV-1 pol sequences, *Nat. Genet.* 25 (2) (2000) 217–222.
- [24] H. Kanno, et al., Combination of engineered Schwann cell grafts to secrete neurotrophin and chondroitinase promotes axonal regeneration and locomotion after spinal cord injury, *J. Neurosci.* 34 (5) (2014) 1838–1855.
- [25] D.M. Basso, M.S. Beattie, J.C. Bresnahan, A sensitive and reliable locomotor rating scale for open field testing in rats, *J. Neurotrauma* 12 (1) (1995) 1–21.
- [26] X.M. Xu, et al., Axonal regeneration into Schwann cell-seeded guidance channels grafted into transected adult rat spinal cord, *J. Comp. Neurol.* 351 (1) (1995) 145–160.
- [27] M.L. Bates, R. Puzis, M.B. Bunge, Preparation of spinal cord injured tissue for light and electron microscopy including preparation for immunostaining, *Anim. Model. Mov. Disord. II* (2011) 381–399.
- [28] P.D. Murray, et al., Spontaneous remyelination following extensive demyelination is associated with improved neurological function in a viral model of multiple sclerosis, *Brain* 124 (7) (2001) 1403–1416.
- [29] M.B. Bunge, et al., Characterization of photochemically induced spinal cord injury in the rat by light and electron microscopy, *Exp. Neurol.* 127 (1) (1994) 76–93.
- [30] S. Vukicevic, et al., Identification of multiple active growth factors in basement membrane Matrigel suggests caution in interpretation of cellular activity related to extracellular matrix components, *Exp. Cell Res.* 202 (1) (1992) 1–8.
- [31] H.K. Kleinman, G.R. Martin, Matrigel: basement membrane matrix with biological activity, *Semin. Canc. Biol.* 15 (5) (2005) 378–386.
- [32] N. Akhtar, E.B. Dickerson, R. Auerbach, The sponge/Matrigel angiogenesis assay, *Angiogenesis* 5 (1) (2002) 75–80.
- [33] G. Benton, et al., Multiple uses of basement membrane-like matrix (BME/Matrigel) in vitro and in vivo with cancer cells, *Int. J. Canc.* 128 (8) (2011) 1751–1757.
- [34] R.R. Williams, et al., Permissive Schwann cell graft/spinal cord interfaces for axon regeneration, *Cell Transplant.* 24 (1) (2015) 115–131.
- [35] C.S. Hughes, L.M. Postovit, G.A. Lajoie, Matrigel: a complex protein mixture required for optimal growth of cell culture, *Proteomics* 10 (9) (2010) 1886–1890.
- [36] M. Caralt, et al., Optimization and critical evaluation of decellularization strategies to develop renal extracellular matrix scaffolds as biological templates for organ engineering and transplantation, *Am. J. Transplant.* 15 (1) (2015) 64–75.
- [37] J.E. Reing, et al., The effects of processing methods upon mechanical and biologic properties of porcine dermal extracellular matrix scaffolds, *Biomaterials* 31 (33) (2010) 8626–8633.
- [38] Y.-h. Chi, et al., Thermodynamic characterization of the human acidic fibroblast growth factor: evidence for cold denaturation, *Biochemistry* 40 (25) (2001) 7746–7753.
- [39] R.J. Playford, et al., Epidermal growth factor is digested to smaller, less active forms in acidic gastric juice, *Gastroenterology* 108 (1) (1995) 92–101.
- [40] R. Londono, S.F. Badylak, Biologic scaffolds for regenerative medicine: mechanisms of in vivo remodeling, *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 43 (3) (2015) 577–592.
- [41] D. Tukmachev, et al., Injectable extracellular matrix hydrogels as scaffolds for spinal cord injury repair, *Tissue Eng. A* 22 (3–4) (2016) 306–317.
- [42] T. Fühmann, P.N. Anandakumaran, M.S. Shoichet, Combinatorial therapies after spinal cord injury: how can biomaterials help? *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 6 (10) (2017).
- [43] P. Assinck, et al., Cell transplantation therapy for spinal cord injury, *Nat. Neurosci.* 20 (05) (2017) 637–647.
- [44] B.S. Spearman, et al., Tissue-Engineered peripheral nerve interfaces, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 28 (12) (2018) 1701713.
- [45] D.J. Donnelly, P.G. Popovich, Inflammation and its role in neuroprotection, axonal regeneration and functional recovery after spinal cord injury, *Exp. Neurol.* 209 (2) (2008) 378–388.
- [46] S. Okada, The pathophysiological role of acute inflammation after spinal cord injury, *Inflamm. Regen.* 36 (1) (2016) 20.
- [47] H.X. Nguyen, K.D. Beck, A.J. Anderson, Quantitative assessment of immune cells in the injured spinal cord tissue by flow cytometry: a novel use for a cell purification method, *JoVE* 50 (2011) e2698–e2698.
- [48] K.D. Beck, et al., Quantitative analysis of cellular inflammation after traumatic spinal cord injury: evidence for a multiphasic inflammatory response in the acute to chronic environment, *Brain* 133 (Pt 2) (2010) 433–447 awp322.
- [49] D.P. Stirling, et al., Minocycline treatment reduces delayed oligodendrocyte death, attenuates axonal dieback, and improves functional outcome after spinal cord injury, *J. Neurosci.* 24 (9) (2004) 2182–2190.
- [50] S.R. Cerqueira, et al., Microglia response and in vivo therapeutic potential of methylprednisolone-loaded dendrimer nanoparticles in spinal cord injury, *Small* 9 (5) (2013) 738–749.
- [51] S. David, A. Kroner, Repertoire of microglial and macrophage responses after spinal cord injury, *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 12 (7) (2011) 388–399.
- [52] B.N. Brown, et al., Macrophage phenotype and remodeling outcomes in response to biologic scaffolds with and without a cellular component, *Biomaterials* 30 (8) (2009) 1482–1491.
- [53] Y. Taoka, K. Okajima, Role of leukocytes in spinal cord injury in rats, *J. Neurotrauma* 17 (3) (2000) 219–229.
- [54] A. Trivedi, A.D. Olivas, L.J. Noble-Haeusslein, Inflammation and spinal cord injury: infiltrating leukocytes as determinants of injury and repair processes, *Clin. Neurosci. Res.* 6 (5) (2006) 283–292.
- [55] J.C. Fleming, et al., Alpha4beta1 integrin blockade after spinal cord injury decreases damage and improves neurological function, *Exp. Neurol.* 214 (2) (2008) 147–159.
- [56] H.Z. Lu, et al., Cyclosporin A increases recovery after spinal cord injury but does not improve myelination by oligodendrocyte progenitor cell transplantation, *BMC Neurosci.* 11 (2010) 127.
- [57] D.J. Barakat, et al., Survival, integration, and axon growth support of glia transplanted into the chronically contused spinal cord, *Cell Transplant.* 14 (4) (2005) 225–240.
- [58] S.J. Armstrong, et al., ECM molecules mediate both Schwann cell proliferation and activation to enhance neurite outgrowth, *Tissue Eng.* 13 (12) (2007) 2863–2870.
- [59] M.A. Chernousov, et al., Regulation of Schwann cell function by the extracellular matrix, *Glia* 56 (14) (2008) 1498–1507.